1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; 4 Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL STIPULATION AND 5 ORDER REGARDING CORPORATION, a California corporation, 6 PEOPLESOFT LICENSE Plaintiffs, AGREEMENTS & EXPRESS 7 v. LICENSE DEFENSE RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; 8 AND SETH RAVIN, an individual. 9 Defendants. 10 11 Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corp. 12 (collectively, "Oracle" or "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. ("Rimini Street") and 13 Seth Ravin ("Ravin") (together, "Rimini" or "Defendants"; together with Oracle, the "Parties") 14 jointly STIPULATE, through their respective counsel of record, as follows: 15 PEOPLESOFT LICENSE AGREEMENTS 16 1. For purposes of this action, the Parties agree and stipulate that the PeopleSoft **17** license agreements for all of Rimini's PeopleSoft customers have identical or similar language to 18 the PeopleSoft license agreements for City of Flint (Oracle Trial Ex. 698) and School District of 19 Pittsburg (Oracle Trial Ex. 699) construed by the Court in its February 13, 2014 order (Dkt. # 20 474). 21 2. For purposes of this action, the Parties agree and stipulate that the PeopleSoft 22 license agreements for all of Rimini's PeopleSoft customers shall be governed by the license 23 construction provided by the Court in its February 13, 2014 order (Dkt. # 474). 24 II. RIMINI'S EXPRESS LICENSE DEFENSE AS TO PEOPLESOFT SOFTWARE 25 3. For purposes of this action, and without waiving any right to challenge or appeal **26** the Court's prior decisions, Rimini will not pursue an affirmative defense of express license at 27 trial for Rimini's past copying of PeopleSoft software because the common provisions in the 28 PeopleSoft license agreements for Rimini's customers effectively preclude Rimini from

- 1 advancing an affirmative express license as to such past copying. Specifically, Rimini will not
- 2 dispute that no PeopleSoft license agreement authorized Rimini to create copies of Oracle's
- 3 PeopleSoft-branded software on Rimini's systems. (Dkt. # 474 at 11–13, 18–19). Further,
- 4 Rimini will not dispute that the license agreement of any particular customer did not authorize
- 5 Rimini to copy Oracle's PeopleSoft-branded software on behalf of any other customer. (Dkt. #
- **6** 474 at 13). ¹
- 7 4. By declining to pursue an affirmative defense of express license at trial for
- 8 Rimini's past copying as to PeopleSoft software, Rimini does not waive any right to challenge or
- 9 appeal the Court's decisions, and all such rights are expressly reserved. Oracle similarly does
- 10 not waive any right to challenge or appeal the Court's decisions, and all such rights are expressly
- 11 reserved.
- 12 5. Nothing in the foregoing shall serve to preclude either party from offering
- evidence regarding the PeopleSoft license agreements, subject to the Court's rulings, motions in
- 14 limine, anticipated *Daubert* motions and other pretrial issues.
- 15 6. Nothing in the foregoing shall preclude Rimini from pursuing license defenses for
- 16 other acts of alleged infringement, including Oracle's infringement claims based on Rimini's
- 17 copying of J.D. Edwards software and documentation; Oracle's infringement claims based on
- 18 Rimini's copying of Siebel software and documentation; and Oracle's infringement claims based
- on Rimini's copying of documentation for PeopleSoft.

2021

22

23

¹ The parties dispute additional construction

The parties dispute additional constructions of the relevant license agreements. In addition, Rimini disputes further aspects of the Court's license analysis not directly addressed by this stipulation, including (by way of example and not limitation) the Court's conclusion that Rimini agreed it is a "designate" under the relevant PeopleSoft licenses. (Dkt. # 474 at 18, fn 16). As a result, the parties do not intend this stipulation to address all relevant license constructions, and they reserve the right to raise remaining disputes with the Court and/or on appeal.

SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

2	Dated:	April	6,	2015
---	--------	-------	----	------

3	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
4	By: /s/ Robert H. Reckers	By: /s/ Thomas S. Hixson	
5	Robert H. Reckers Attorneys for Defendants	Thomas S. Hixson Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6	Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin	Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and	
7		Oracle International Corporation	

--

1	ATTESTATION OF FILER				
2	The signatories to this document are Robert Reckers and me, and I have obtained Mr.				
3	Reckers's concurrence to file this document on his behalf.				
4					
5	Dated: April 6, 2015	MODGAN LEWIS & DOCKHIS LLD			
6		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			
7		By: /s/ Thomas S. Hixson Thomas S. Hixson			
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and			
9		Oracle International Corporation			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
11	DATED this 18th day of June, 2015.	1,1-,			
12		Albaha.			
13		LARRY R. HICKS			
14		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		Λ			